



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

fw

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,514	10/25/2001	Rebecca Ann Frana-Guthrie	0212-0001	1677

33297 7590 08/13/2003
BEEM PATENT LAW FIRM
53 W. JACKSON BLVD., SUITE 1352
CHICAGO, IL 60604-3787

EXAMINER

CIRJC, LJILJANA V

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3743

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/053,514	Applicant(s) Frana-Guthrie et al.
	Examiner Ljiljana V. Ciric	Art Unit 3743

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ljiljana V. Ciric

(3) _____

(2) Michael R. Mischnick, Reg. No. 52,036

(4) _____

Date of Interview Aug 9, 2003

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Amendment and arguments filed on July 2, 2003, Paper No. 8.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-13

Identification of prior art discussed:

Ghiani et al., Williams, Hedeon, and Lakerdas--all previously of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Attorney Mischnick telephoned Examiner Ciric in order to request an interview dealing with the paper filed on July 2, 2003. Examiner Ciric noted that at least the amended independent claims are still broad and do not necessarily overcome at least some of the references. In particular, she explained how the previously amended Williams reference still reads on at least claim 1, for example. Examiner Ciric invited Attorney Mischnick to notify her no later than COB August 15 whether he intends to file a supplemental amendment by August 19 in order to try to overcome some of the still-applicable previously applied references. Attorney Mischnick indicated that he would notify her of applicant's decision on this matter by August 15 as requested.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

LJILJANA V. CIRIC
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3743

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.